

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/084,007	05/26/1998	TOMOAKI TAMURA	02860.0585	4892
22852 7	7590 10/31/2002			
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT &			EXAMINER	
DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			TILLERY, RASHAWN N	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20000		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2612	
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- (\'/			
Advisory Action	09/084,007	TAMURA, TOMOAKI				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rashawn N Tillery	2612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	9SS			
THE REPLY FILED 10 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli	cation. A proper replication is a proper replication.	y to a ation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Se	e MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dain have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate exte the final Office action; or (2	nsion fee under 2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	s Brief must be filed within the pR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or si	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	S.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)□ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided bel	o)⊠ will be entered a ow or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 20-26.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Exami	ner.			
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10. Other:						
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/084,007

Art Unit: 2612

Response to Arguments

Regarding Applicant's arguments concerning the Miyake patent failing to teach a portable camera adapted to receive image data, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. As correctly stated by Applicant, Miyake teaches an internal compander which compresses or expands image data. With respect to Applicant's claim language, the second image data (compressed data) is data read from the removable memory; therefore, since the memory card outputs the image data to the camera, it is, in fact, data which is received by the camera. In addition, nowhere in Applicant's claim language is the generation (internally or externally) of the image data discussed.

Regarding Applicant's arguments concerning the finality of the last Office

Action, the examiner notes that the cancellation of claims 1-15 and the addition of new

claims 20-26 offer sufficient basis for a new ground of rejection; therefore, the finality of
the last Office Action stands.

WENDY R.) GARBER Supervisory patent examiner

TECHNOLOGY CENTED 2600

Page 2